

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/640,710	08/18/2000		Blair Lewis Stringam	REC-6201	6471
	7590	02/25/2003			
Neil L Mark	Esq		EXAMINER		
U S Department of Interior 1849 C Street NW				MARTIR, LILYBETT	
Washington, DC 20240				ABTIBUT	DADED MUMED

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BU
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Advisory Action	09/640,710	STRINGAM ET AL.	
•	Examiner .	Art Unit	
	Lilybett Martir	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	s
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ol> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ol>	cation. A proper reply ich places the applicati	on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened o	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE teen which the petition under 37 CFR 1.2 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I 136(a) and the appropriate extension. The appropriate extension or (2) a	MPEP tension fee ion fee under as set forth in
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final rejo	ection, even if timely filed, may	y reduce any
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		•
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note is	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examine	∍r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		•	
		CM ZIZ	1103

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New claims incorporating several new limitations where submitted, and therefore said new claims would require a new search and more consideration.

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800